

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,913	12/01/2003	Takayuki Kinoshita	JP920020209US1	3308
48583 DB A CEWEL	7590 03/07/2008		EXAMINER	
BRACEWELL & GIULIANI LLP PO BOX 61389			DANG, HUNG Q	
HOUSTON, TX.77208-1389			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/07/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

MICHAEL.NOE@BGLLP.COM robyn.pyle@bgllp.com pamela.clark@bgllp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/724,913	KINOSHITA ET AL.				
interview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HUNG Q. DANG	2621				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>HUNG Q. DANG</u> .	(3) <u>MIKE LOE</u> .					
(2) <u>THAI TRAN</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 28 February 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>6 and 7</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Noda (US 7,003,711) and Bohrer (US 2003/0004948).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposes to overcome cited references by limiting the claims to either "a single file", "a single video file, which requires chronologically sequential access", or by distinguishing the scope of the invention that emphasizes sequential access of a single video file from that of Bohrer's teachings which is believed to optimize network's parameters. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action	Examiner's signature, if requi	red				